

RECEIVED

MAY 18 1993

1 HUB LAW OFFICES
Ford Greene, Esquire
2 California State Bar No. 107601
711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard
3 San Anselmo, California 94960-1949
Telephone: (415) 258-0360

4 PAUL MORANTZ, ESQ.
5 P.O. Box 511
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272
6 (213) 459-4745

7 Attorney for Defendant
GERALD ARMSTRONG

RECEIVED

MAY 22 1993

HUB LAW OFFICES

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

13 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY)
14 INTERNATIONAL, a California)
not-for-profit religious)
15 corporation;)

No. BC 052395

[PROPOSED] ORDER

16 Plaintiffs,)

17 vs.)

18 GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1)
through 25, inclusive,)

DATE: May 26, 1993

TIME: 8:30 a.m.

19 Defendants.)

DEPT: 30

20 _____)

TRIAL DATE: Vacated

21 AND RELATED CROSS-COMPLAINT)

DISCOVERY CUT OFF: Stayed

22 _____)

MOTION CUT OFF: Stayed

23 Having read and considered the motion brought by Scientology
24 for clarification, or in the alternative, to require posting of an
25 undertaking, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that said motion is DENIED.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff and/or plaintiff's
27 counsel shall pay to Armstrong as monetary damages the amount of
28

COPY

1 \$2,325.00.

2 Regarding the imposition of monetary sanctions against
3 plaintiff and its counsel, the Court specifically finds as
4 follows:

5 1. Scientology's motion was frivolous because it failed to
6 comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 1008 in
7 that said motion was not brought within the proper time period.

8 2. Scientology's motion was frivolous because it failed to
9 comply with the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure § 1008 in
10 that said motion was not factually supported because no relevant
11 facts were alleged concerning which Scientology did not have
12 knowledge prior to March 23, 1993, the date when Armstrong's
13 motion for stay was litigated.

14 DATED:

15 _____
16 Judge of the Superior Court
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28